		PROPOSAL NO.			
	SEATTLE CITY LIGHT NEW CAPITAL PROJECT PROPOSA	L FORM			
		Submitting Unit: 373 Division Director Concurrence / / 150m 92 Initial Date			
	Scoretown Steam Plant ocation (Powerhouse, Substation, tc.)	Work Description (Capacitor Rebuild Powerhouse Remodel, etc.)			
Contact Person in Submitt	Ing Unit: Twe Kowan	Rm. # / Phone #: 300/4-3364			
Description of the existing situation (describe what needs to be corrected and why*): To surplus the property on which the GTSP flume is located, SCL must fill—in the flume. Although the utility cleaned—up the contaminated sentiments in the flume in 1985, meighboring land owner now claims the flume is discharging PaB's again and he is suing the city. After SL diverts storm drainage off the steamplant from the flume pescription of the physical improvement(s) proposed by this project. The flume will be filled. Drainage improvements will be made to handle the storm runoff. Description of the benefits of this project (include any side benefits): After the flume is filled—in, the flume will loose its potential as a source of pollution and SL will be able to dispose of the property. Inticipated life of the improvement(s): permanent Cost information (estimate to two significant figures, in \$1,000s):					
CAPITAL COSTS	OLM SAVINGS	PROPOSED FUNDING			
BENEFIT: COST Ratio = Annua	Current Annual Cost = \$ Est. Future Annual = \$ - Annual Savings = \$ Other Annual Quantified Benefits = \$ Innual Benefits + Il Savings) x (Life) = (\$ (Total Cost)	CIP PE No O&M Outside Source(s) /yr)(yrs) =			
consideration only) *Attach sketches if possib	CIP Review Committee	posal.			

SCL 05968

in a project to be completed this year, only one other pipe will be legally discharging runoff into the flume. However, the flume is also the natural outlet for sheet-flow off several streets and parkings lots in the area.

SCL 05969

•				
PROJECT RAT Date 1/9/92	ING FO	R PE	Prepare	d by Tave Rowan Project Type:
Project Des				System on Steam Pland Flume Fill-cln Facility Study
Category (max pts)	Score		Points	Summary of Specific Reasons
Reliability (20)	N/A	2.0		
Regulatory (20)	63	2.0	12 10	The EPA, NDOE, and METRO have all been involved with the flume and these agencies will surely be happy when the flume is filled.
Environ- mental (18)	10	1.8	18	When this project is complete, the flume will no longer be a potential conduit for contaminants released in dol spille, nor will it be able to be used as a convenient but illegal dumping pround in the future.
Safety (14)			8.4 T	Atthough the flume is covered with screen, it does provide an attractive nuisance for kids.
Economics (18)	7	1.8	12.6	With the flume filled in, the property will be more attractive to buyers and 5th will get more money for it.
Customer Service/ Public Relations (5)	6	0.5	3.0 115	The GTSP hasn't received much public attention but if the flume continues to be identified as a source of pollution it could loose its anonymity.
Policies (5)	10	0.5	5.0 3.0	This project is consistent with SCL's mussion to operate in an environmentally sound manner

RATING Total Points (100)

9-16-88/vmk/RATEFORM.R

Comments:

59.0 57.1

SCL 05970

CIP Review Committee Action on